

Connecticut Sea Grant Extension Program Proposal Review Form

Principal Investigator: Nancy C. Balcom

Associate Investigators: Heather M. Crawford, Tessa L. Simlick

Rating: Please circle the appropriate rating for each criterion, using the point scale provided. (The scale ranges from "0" for very weak, poor, unacceptable to "10" or "20", for very strong, excellent.)

Key Criteria to Consider

Program Objectives – are the goals and objectives clearly defined, measurable and attainable? Do the objectives appear routine or innovative?

0 5 10 15 20

Comments:

Activities – Are the activities related to the goals and objectives? Are they adequate, attainable and creative? Are new approaches identified? Do they appear to focus on key issues?

0 5 10 15 20

Comments:

Connections and Applications – Is there evidence of significant interaction with stakeholders, researchers, local, state and national agencies, other Sea Grant programs? Are regional and national efforts evident in this program?

0 5 10 15 20

Comments:

Expected Results and Benefits – What outcomes are anticipated if the goals and objectives are met? What local, state, regional or national impacts are envisioned? Are they measurable?

0 5 10 15 20

Comments:

(continued on back)

Other Criteria to Consider

Staff Qualifications- Do the extension staff appear to be adequately educated, trained and experienced to undertake the proposed activities? Do the proposed activities reflect the stage of career to which individual extension staff has attained?

0 2 4 6 8 10
Comments:

Budget – Is the budget appropriate and adequately explained? Are there areas of the budget that seem excessively high or low? Is the appropriate match indicated?

0 2 4 6 8 10
Comments:

Additional Comments:

Reviewer's Signature

Date

Reviewer's Name Typed